

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/590,244	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI, KIMIYOSHI	
	Examiner Aaron Piggush	Art Unit 2838	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron Piggush. (3) ____.

(2) Toshikatsu Imaizumi. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: NA.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative and the examiner discussed a typographical error on the previous Notice of Allowability filed on 12/16/08. On form PTOL-37 under the acknowledgement of a claim for foreign priority, the "x" was placed next to box 1 instead of next to box 3. The appropriate correction has been made in the Supplemental Notice of Allowability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Aaron Piggush/ Examiner, Art Unit 2838	
--	--